

**WAHSET State Meeting
August 22, 2010
Mt Si Senior Center, North Bend, WA**

CALL TO ORDER	Chairperson Bev Matney called the meeting to order at 12:07 pm. Judy Schwartz, Tira Hancock, and Linda Turnbull present. District 1 (3), District 2 (3), District 3 (1), District 4 (2), District 5 (3), and District 6 (2). Total voting members present: 14
Approve Minutes	Motion and second to approve minutes from June 19, 2010 meeting. Motion carried 14:0
Approve Treasurer's Report	Current balance: \$23,140.80. Scholarship fund – balance \$5,139.51
Motion	MOTION and second to approve treasurer's report. Motion carried 14:0
Scholarship Names Needed	Names and email information for all students who received scholarships in 2010 need to be sent to Linda Turnbull so students can receive scholarship funds. District funds transfer to District 6 need to take place – adjustment necessary due to miscalculation of including scholarship funds from District 3. Treasurer Turnbull will get new determination to affected District chairs.
OLD BUSINESS	
Re-Districting	Mt. Spokane and Deer Park coaches presented information and requested the reconsideration of the re-districting of the Eastside of the state. Discussion followed regarding the way re-districting occurs.
State Meet 2011 Bids	State Meet Bid form will be posted on WAHSET website. Any interested arena should have bid submitted by October, 2010 to Chairperson Matney.
NEW BUSINESS	
Retreat	September 18, 2010, 1:00PM at the Lacey fire station (to follow regularly scheduled meeting, 10-12, 9/18/10). Treasurers meeting to start at 9AM.
Co-ops	Liberty to co-op with Enumclaw, move okay with White River.
New Teams	Port Orchard, South Kitsap, Peninsula high schools all trying to form teams
Old Teams (Issues/changes)	Deer Park is now an independent team from the high school (use high school name only).
COMMITTEES	
Rules Changes	Committee recommendations sent to District Chairs more than 30 days prior to voting meeting. Voting members representing their Districts voted as follows on the recommendations submitted by the rules committee (see attached rules committee submission for details): 1-02-17a – Awards
Motion	MOTION and second to approve 1-02-17a. Motion carried 14:0

<p>Motion</p> <p>Motion</p> <p>Motion</p> <p>Motion</p> <p>Motion</p> <p>Motion</p> <p>Motion</p> <p>Bylaws</p> <p>Scholarship</p> <p>Pattern & Judges Committee</p>	<p>2-01-6c-2 – Steer Daubing MOTION and second to approve 2-01-6c-2. Motion carried 14:0</p> <p>2-07-10 c – Two Man Birangle MOTION and second to approve 2-07-10 c. Motion carried 14:0</p> <p>2-02-5 b1 – Awards, B) State Awards MOTION and second to approve 2-02-5b1. Motion carried 14:0</p> <p>2-01-5a – Breakaway Roping MOTION and second to approve 2-01-5a. Motion failed 6:8</p> <p>2-01-6a – Steer Daubing MOTION and second to approve 2-01-6a. Motion failed 6:8</p> <p>2-01-6k – Steer Daubing MOTION and second to approve 2-01-6k. Motion failed 3:11</p> <p>Bylaws – District chairs and other appointed members to get comments to Secretary Hancock before September retreat with the intent to decide any changes to the bylaws for voting in December.</p> <p>No report at this time</p> <p>Patterns have been drawn and going through a double-check process. The committee has made sure to use pre-existing equipment in each District. The only exception to pattern completion is the USDF dressage patterns since those have not been released yet.</p>
<p>DISTRICT UPDATES</p> <p>District 1</p> <p>District 2</p> <p>District 3</p> <p>District 4</p> <p>District 5</p> <p>District 6</p>	<p>District 1 – working on how to split equipment, finances</p> <p>District 2 – no issues</p> <p>District 3 – no issues</p> <p>District 4 – no issues (executive session topic)</p> <p>District 5 – no issues – all good</p> <p>District 6 – no issues</p>
<p>MISCELLANEOUS</p>	<p>Timed event challenge in Clark County – information distributed</p> <p>Roy Rodeo is the first weekend in September</p>
<p>OPEN FLOOR</p>	<p>Clarification – for roping and steer daubing a person (coach, advisor, or athlete) can lead a horse into the box and help get the horse turned, but must let go.</p>

	<p>Discussion about rules changes that did not make it to the rules change committee.</p> <p>Judges cannot change the rules – if they will not follow our rules, they need not be hired.</p>
NEXT MEETING DATE	September 18, 2010 (Saturday), Lacey Fire Station #34, 9am treasurer's meeting, 10-12pm general member meeting, 1pm officer retreat.
EXECUTIVE SESSION	Meeting adjourned to executive session for personnel issue for 15 minutes. Session extended another 10 minutes.
ADJOURN	Motion and second to adjourn at 1:31PM. Motion passed 14:0

/s/ Bev Matney
Chairperson

/s/ Tina Hancock
Secretary

Page # of Rule	Article & Section of Rule to be Changed	Proposed Change (be specific, type EXACTLY as should appear in rulebook)	Rule as it currently appears in the rulebook	Committee Decision & Comments	State level votes for, against, and abstention	Rule change Pass or Fail w/Date
1-02-17	Awards - A (4)	A sportsmanship trophy may be awarded based on merit and voted on by the district.	A sportsmanship trophy will be awarded based on merit and voted on by the district.	Should be approved Why would you not want to award a sportsmanship trophy Yes Yes, the option should be there. Yes YES - May be awarded- as some districts might not have the funds to award trophy	14:0	Pass - 8/22/10
2-01-6	Steer Daubing C-2	2) Time stops when the judge drops his/her flag. Athlete must rise the 2' dauber above his head to indicate a successful daub. A successful daub is placed between the withers and tail of the cow.	2) Time stops when the judge drops his/her flag. Athlete must rise the 4' dauber above his head to indicate a successful daub. A successful daub is placed between the withers and tail of the cow.	Should be approved A 2' daub was used through out the 2010 season refer back to earlier discussions - I'm good with whatever the rest of you decide Yes, this is just cleaning up the rule book. Yes, just cleaning up the rule. YES	14:0	Pass - 8/22/10
2-07-10	Two Man Birangle C	C (1) Two athletes are determined to be on the course at the same time if the nose of the departing horse crosses the start/finish line prior to the hind feet of the return horse crossing the start/finish line - TAILS DO NOT COUNT.	Not currently in rules, new addition	Should be approved I'm not sure of the impact here.....I'll go with the rest of you. Yes, This is the wording for Canadian Flags, so we should be consistent. This rule is currently in effect. See 2-07-11 C(1). When this rule was adopted, it was intended to apply to all team gaming events. IHOR is timed on the 'handler.' 2-05-3 E. Yes YES	14:0	Pass - 8/22/10

Page # of Rule	Article & Section of Rule to be Changed	Proposed Change (be specific, type EXACTLY as should appear in rulebook)	Rule as it currently appears in the rulebook	Committee Decision & Comments	State level votes for, against, and abstention	Rule change Pass or Fail w/Date
2-02-5	Awards- B) State Awards	(1) Awards are given at the end of the state championship meet. Medals may be given during the meet.	(1) Awards are given at the end of the state championship meet.	Should be approved This would help save time. no objection Are they referring to 1-02-18 B(1)? We gave the scholarship "award" during opening ceremonies - where it seemed to belong, but this only mentions Medals. Should be approved, but should say 'Daily awards may be presented.'	14:0	Pass - 8/22/10
2-01-5	Breakaway Roping	A. Any encouragement of forward motion or holding back by anyone other than the rider will be a disqualification. A coach, advisor or athlete may bring the equine in the arena or to the box only, but may not enter the box with the horse.	A. Any encouragement of forward motion or holding back by anyone other than the rider will be a disqualification. A coach, advisor or athlete may bring the equine in the arena or to the box, but it is prohibited to hold the equine, or encourage forward motion.	Should be approved Yes, people on the ground in the box is a safety concern. This is Rule 2-01-4 A. Should not be approved. Over half the riders will be eliminated if someone is not allowed to help them into the box. This has been the practice for the past four years. do not agree as stated above. YES. Stop and think about this - if the athlete can not get the horse into the box or the arena - is it really save for the athlete to ride that horse in the first place? Isn't safety first? I would not want to be leading a horse that refuses to enter anything.	6:8	Failed - 8/22/10

Page # of Rule	Article & Section of Rule to be Changed	Proposed Change (be specific, type EXACTLY as should appear in rulebook)	Rule as it currently appears in the rulebook	Committee Decision & Comments	State level votes for, against, and abstention	Rule change Pass or Fail w/Date
2-01-6	Steer Daubing	A. Any encouragement of forward motion or holding back by anyone other than the rider will be a disqualification. A coach, advisor or athlete may bring the equine in the arena or to the box only, but may not enter the box with the horse.	A. Any encouragement of forward motion or holding back by anyone other than the rider will be a disqualification. A coach, advisor or athlete may bring the equine in the arena or to the box, but it is prohibited to hold the equine, or encourage forward motion.	Should be approved agreed Agreed This is Rule 2-01-4 A. Should not be approved. Over half the riders will be eliminated if someone is not allowed to help them into the box. This has been the practice for the past four years. This has been the practice for the past four years.	6:8	Failed - 8/22/10

2-01-6	Steer Daubing	K. An athlete will receive 45 seconds from the time their name is called to the time the chute opens. If athlete is not in box and ready when that period of time is up then they shall be awarded a "no time".	K. An athlete will receive 90 seconds from the time their name is called to the time the chute opens. If athlete is not in box and ready when that period of time is up then they shall be awarded a "no time".	Should be approved agreed Agreed No - see comments above for Break-Away roping. Should not be approved. The 45 seconds is way too short. If the judge adheres to the 90-second rule, there will not be an inordinate delay. yes the 45 min. time from name call to chute open. I feel thats a little tight. 90 min., yes is way too long and drags the event out. Maybe compromise and make it 60.	3:11	Failed - 8/22/10
--------	---------------	--	---	--	------	------------------